

**Appendix XII-B1**

	<p><b>CIVIL CASE INFORMATION STATEMENT (CIS)</b></p> <p>Use for initial Law Division Civil Part pleadings (not motions) under <i>Rule 4:5-1</i> <b>Pleading will be rejected for filing, under <i>Rule 1:5-6(c)</i>, if information above the black bar is not completed or attorney's signature is not affixed</b></p>		<p>FILED</p> <p>2015 JUL -1</p>	<p><small>FOR USE BY CLERK'S OFFICE ONLY</small></p> <p>PAYMENT TYPE: <input type="checkbox"/> BK <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CG <input type="checkbox"/> CA</p> <p>CHECK NO. <b>A 8: 5b</b></p> <p>AMOUNT: <b>CIVIL OFFICE</b></p> <p>OVERPAYMENT: <b>MIDDLESEX VINCINAGE</b></p> <p>BATCH NUMBER:</p>
	ATTORNEY / PRO SE NAME Donald J. Sears		TELEPHONE NUMBER (732) 329-4000	COUNTY OF VENUE Middlesex
	FIRM NAME (if applicable) Township of South Brunswick		DOCKET NUMBER (when available)	
	OFFICE ADDRESS P.O. Box 190 540 Ridge Road Monmouth Junction, NJ 08852		DOCUMENT TYPE Declaratory Judgment	
NAME OF PARTY (e.g., John Doe, Plaintiff) Township of South Brunswick		CAPTION In the Matter of the Application of the Township of South Brunswick		
CASE TYPE NUMBER (See reverse side for listing) 303	HURRICANE SANDY RELATED? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	IS THIS A PROFESSIONAL MALPRACTICE CASE? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO IF YOU HAVE CHECKED "YES," SEE N.J.S.A. 2A:53 A-27 AND APPLICABLE CASE LAW REGARDING YOUR OBLIGATION TO FILE AN AFFIDAVIT OF MERIT.		
RELATED CASES PENDING? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		IF YES, LIST DOCKET NUMBERS See Addendum attached hereto		
DO YOU ANTICIPATE ADDING ANY PARTIES (arising out of same transaction or occurrence)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		NAME OF DEFENDANT'S PRIMARY INSURANCE COMPANY (if known) <input type="checkbox"/> NONE <input type="checkbox"/> UNKNOWN		
<b>THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ON THIS FORM CANNOT BE INTRODUCED INTO EVIDENCE.</b>				
CASE CHARACTERISTICS FOR PURPOSES OF DETERMINING IF CASE IS APPROPRIATE FOR MEDIATION				
DO PARTIES HAVE A CURRENT, PAST OR RECURRENT RELATIONSHIP? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO		IF YES, IS THAT RELATIONSHIP: <input type="checkbox"/> EMPLOYER/EMPLOYEE <input type="checkbox"/> FRIEND/NEIGHBOR <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (explain) <input type="checkbox"/> FAMILIAL <input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS		
DOES THE STATUTE GOVERNING THIS CASE PROVIDE FOR PAYMENT OF FEES BY THE LOSING PARTY? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO				
USE THIS SPACE TO ALERT THE COURT TO ANY SPECIAL CASE CHARACTERISTICS THAT MAY WARRANT INDIVIDUAL MANAGEMENT OR ACCELERATED DISPOSITION This is a Mount Laurel (affordable housing) matter, through which the Township of South Brunswick is seeking to comply with its constitutional affordable housing "fair share" obligations voluntarily thereby rendering all exclusionary zoning lawsuits to be unnecessary. This declaratory complaint therefore seeks two forms of relief. First, it asks the trial court to enter an order granting the Township "temporary immunity" from all Mount Laurel lawsuits. Second, it asks the Court to review and approve the Township's Housing Element and Fair Share Plan through the entry of a Judgment of Compliance and Repose.				
 DO YOU OR YOUR CLIENT NEED ANY DISABILITY ACCOMMODATIONS? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO		IF YES, PLEASE IDENTIFY THE REQUESTED ACCOMMODATION		
WILL AN INTERPRETER BE NEEDED? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO		IF YES, FOR WHAT LANGUAGE?		
I certify that confidential personal identifiers have been redacted from documents now submitted to the court, and will be redacted from all documents submitted in the future in accordance with <i>Rule 1:38-7(b)</i> .				
ATTORNEY SIGNATURE: <i>Donald J. Sears</i> 7/1/15				

ADDENDUM TO CASE INFORMATION STATEMENT FOR THE MOUNT LAUREL  
DECLARATORY JUDGMENT COMPLAINT FILED BY THE TOWNSHIP OF SOUTH  
BRUNSWICK

The Township of South Brunswick is filing this Declaratory Judgment Complaint pursuant to the recent Supreme Court opinion entitled In re Adoption of N.J.A.C. 5:96 & 5:97 by N.J. Council on Affordable Housing, 221 N.J. 1 (2015). Due to the regional nature of Mount Laurel affordable housing matters, each time any trial judge decides the Third Round obligation of any municipality, that determination could impact on the formula used to calculate the Third Round obligation of every other municipality in the region. Because of this phenomenon, it is entirely possible that before any trial judge determines any municipality's Third Round obligation, it will want to hear from all litigants in all Mount Laurel lawsuits in that judge's vicinage. Otherwise, that judge will find himself or herself hearing from the same set of experts on multiple occasions. At the present time, we are only aware of one "related case pending," which is South Brunswick Center, LLC v. Mayor and Municipal Council of the Township of South Brunswick, et al., Docket No. MID-L-3669-14. It is entirely possible, if not likely, that, as this matter proceeds, other related cases will occur.